

# Chapter 6. Using the Cluster Class for Scheduling

---

*Authors:*

*José Luis Pino*

## 6.1 Introduction

The Ptolemy kernel has three main facilities to aid in the implementation of scheduling algorithms: generic clustering mechanisms, graph iterators, and classical graph algorithms. In this chapter, we will cover the use of these facilities and some of the important methods currently available in Ptolemy to implement new scheduling algorithms.

## 6.2 Basic Classes

User-specifications done in Ptolemy are represented internally as a collection of annotated directed graphs that may contain cycles. Nodes in these directed graphs may themselves contain other directed graphs. An *atomic* node is either a `Star`, which defines code to implement the node operation, or a `WormHole`, which has an internal graph that is hidden from the outside. A `WormHole` is used when there is a change in the semantics between the internal and external graphs, such as a change in the `Domain` or `Scheduler`. For purposes of the outside graph, a `WormHole` is equivalent to a `Star`. A *non-atomic* node, or `Galaxy`, is a node which contains an internal graph which is visible from the outside. This internal graph is stored in a `Galaxy`'s `BlockList`. Finally, a `Scheduler` is a class that determines the firing order of *atomic* nodes in a graph.

`WormHoles`, `Galaxies` and `Stars` are all derived from the class `Block`. A `Block` contains `PortLists`, which store a list of `PortHoles` that provide locations to connect input or output arcs to the `Block`. `Blocks` also contain `StateLists`, which may either be user-specified parameters or run-time states that are used when a graph is executed.

A user specification is compiled into an internal representation known as an *interpreted universe* (`InterpUniverse`). Currently, the user specifications are in the form of `ptcl` or `oct` facets. In the future there will probably be also a `Tycho` specification format. An `InterpUniverse` captures the user hierarchy in the form of a directed graph of `WormHoles`, `Galaxies` and `Stars`. The `InterpUniverse` is derived from `Galaxy` and contains the top-level user-specification in its `BlockList`. Every other level of the user specified hierarchy is represented by either a `Wormhole` or `Galaxy` embedded inside of its *parent* `Galaxy`.

All `Blocks` have a parent `Block` pointer. The parent of a `Block` is the `Galaxy` or `WormHole` in which the `Block` is embedded. The `InterpUniverse`, which is the top-level `Galaxy` user specification, has its parent pointer set to `NULL`.

## 6.3 Galaxies and their relationship to Adjacency Lists

To define graph algorithms, adjacency-lists and adjacency-matrices are commonly used to represent a directed graph [Cor90]. An adjacency-matrix is a square matrix where

there is one column,  $i$ , and one row,  $j$ , for each node,  $i$ , the graph. An element  $(i, j)$  in this matrix is either 1 if there is an arc from  $i$  to  $j$ , or 0 if no arc exists. The second representation is an adjacency-list in which each node has a list containing the nodes to which it is connected. Thus an adjacency-list is better suited for sparse graphs, whereas adjacency-matrices are well suited for dense graphs.

Blocks with their `PortLists` can be viewed as equivalent to the adjacency-list data structure. A `PortHole`, in most domains, is either an input or an output. It contains a `farSidePort` pointer to the `PortHole` it is connected to (NULL if it is not connected). To traverse the adjacency-list, a scheduler writer must make use of two iterators in Ptolemy (See “Iterators” on page 3-10): `GalStarIter` and `SuccessorIter`. By using a `GalStarIter` a scheduler writer can iterate over the nodes in the user-specified graph. Then on each of these nodes we can find the adjacent nodes using the `SuccessorIter`. Although it is not necessary for adjacency-list equivalence, the `PredecessorIter` is provided to iterate over the nodes that are predecessors to a given node.

There is slight overhead in accessing the graph using both `GalStarIter` and `SuccessorIter` over a straight forward implementation of an adjacency-list class. This overhead has a constant cost which is not dependent on the size of the graph. Thus we feel that the robustness achieved by not having two parallel representations of the same graph far outweigh this small overhead.

## 6.4 Clustering

Clustering is often used in implementing scheduling heuristics. We have provided a generic `Cluster` class in the Ptolemy kernel which scheduler writers can use directly or, if need be, derive specialized clustering classes. The older schedulers such as the BDF scheduler and the SDF loop schedulers have not been upgraded to use the new `Cluster` classes. Thus, the BDF and SDF schedulers should not be used as examples of how to do clustering in Ptolemy, but rather the hierarchical SDF parallel scheduler (`$PTOLEMY/src/domains/cg/hierScheduler`) can be used as a model. The `HierScheduler` in the current version of Ptolemy is a prototype of the hierarchical parallel scheduler detailed in [Pin95]. In addition, we have a specialized loop scheduler [Mur94] which also uses the new cluster facilities.

The class `Cluster` is derived from the `DynamicGalaxy` and as such manages its own memory. The `Cluster` classes use `ClusterPorts` which are derived from `GalPort`. The main difference between the `ClusterPorts` and `GalPorts` is that `ClusterPorts` maintain a `farSidePort` pointer. We need this change in `ClusterPort` in order to easily iterate over the `Clusters` at any level of the clustering hierarchy. A `ClusterPort::farSidePort` pointer will only be NULL if the `ClusterPort` is aliased to a `StarPortHole` connected at higher level of the clustering hierarchy.

### 6.4.1 Initialization — Flattening the User Specified Graph

Clustering is done directly on the internal representation of the user-specified graph. Before we can begin to cluster the internal representation, the irrelevant user hierarchy must be flattened. The flattening is accomplished by creating a temporary `Cluster` instance and then invoking the `Cluster::initializeForClustering` method on the `Galaxy` whose internals we want to cluster. This top-level `Galaxy` will remain intact, but all internal `Galax-`

ies which pass the `Cluster::flattenGalaxy` test will be flattened and deleted. Thus any `Scheduler` and `Target` pointers to the top-level `Galaxy` will not need to be updated because they do not change. The necessary information from the user-specified hierarchy is preserved automatically with the aid of the `Scope` class detailed in section 6.5.

After the internals of the top-level `Galaxy` have been flattened, `Clusters` are constructed around each individual atomic `Block`. In that way, the scheduler writer can treat all the `Blocks` at each level (except the innermost level) as a `Cluster`. This property is maintained through any sequence of `merge/absorb` calls. An example `initializeForClustering` invocation is shown in figure 6-1, frames 1 and 2.

A facility for restoring the internal Ptolemy representation back to the original user-specified hierarchy is detailed in section 6.6.

### 6.4.2 Absorb and Merge

The basic clustering mechanisms are implemented with the virtual methods: `Cluster::merge` and `Cluster::absorb`. Both of these methods can take up to two arguments. The first argument is the `Cluster` to absorb/merge and the second argument (optional) specifies whether or not to remove the absorbed or merged `Cluster` from the original parent `Galaxy`.

The `Cluster::merge` method takes the contents of the `Cluster` being merged and moves them into the `Cluster` pointed to by the `this` pointer. A merge operation is communicative. A series of merge steps is shown in figure 6-1 frames 3 and 4.


The `Cluster::absorb` method takes the `Cluster` being absorbed and moves it into the `Cluster` pointed to by the `this` pointer. Unlike `merge`, `absorb` is not communicative as shown in figure 6-1 frames 5 and 5'.

The absorbed or merged `Cluster` is removed from the original parent `Galaxy` by default when `Cluster::merge` or `Cluster::absorb` is called. We provide three ways to update the graph after a clustering operation with differing levels of efficiency. These methods are detailed in the table 6-1. We first list some variable definitions:

- Let  $N$  be defined as the number of `Clusters` in the parent `Galaxy`
- Let  $E_t$  be defined as the number of `PortHoles` in *this* `Cluster`
- Let  $E_c$  be defined as the number of `PortHoles` in the `Cluster` to absorb or merge

Deletion/Update Method	Complexity to update at each clustering step
Using <code>merge/absorb</code> in their default mode of operation. This is the most inefficient way to do clustering.	$O(N + E_t \times E_c)$

**TABLE 6-1:** Complexity cost of absorb/merge step.



**FIGURE 6-1:** A five step clustering example. By convention, a `Cluster` in this figure will be named by the listing of its innermost atomic `Blocks`. In frame 1, the user-specified graph is shown. `Cluster::initializeForClustering` is called and the resultant graph is shown in frame 2 — this step adds a `Cluster` around all atomic `Blocks`. Frames 3-5 show a series of `merge/absorb` operations. The ordering is important only with `absorb` operation — as shown by frames 5 and 5'.

Deletion/Update Method	Complexity to update at each clustering step
<p><code>GalTopBlockIter::remove</code>                      We can use this method if the <code>Cluster</code> to absorb/merge was found using a <code>GalTopBlockIter</code> (or derived iterator class) on the parent <code>Galaxy</code>. The scheduler writer needs to do two things:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• remove the absorbed/merged cluster using from the parent <code>Galaxy</code> using the iterator's <code>remove</code> method.</li> <li>• delete the removed <code>Cluster</code> using the C++ operator <code>delete</code>.</li> </ul> <p>This is the most efficient way of updating the graph after a clustering operation — but it is not always possible because we may be traversing the graph in some other way such as using a <code>SuccessorIter</code>.</p>	$O(E_t \times E_c)$
<p><code>cleanupAfterCluster</code> (defined in <code>Cluster.h, cc</code>)                      If we cannot use the previous method, we can leave the <code>Cluster</code> in the parent <code>Galaxy</code> list (it will be marked invalid automatically). The <code>Cluster</code> iterator classes automatically skip these invalid <code>Clusters</code>. Periodically (but not at each clustering step), the <code>cleanupAfterCluster</code> function should be invoked to remove and delete the invalid <code>Clusters</code>. This function will cost <math>O(N + E_t \times E_c)</math> to execute, but since it is not done at each clustering step — the result on the overall complexity will be additive versus being multiplicative. For an example of how this is done, refer to: <code>\$PTOLEMY/src/domains/cg/hierScheduler/HierScheduler.cc</code>.</p>	$O(E_t \times E_c)$

**TABLE 6-1:** Complexity cost of absorb/merge step.

### 6.4.3 Cluster Iterator Classes

The `Cluster` iterator classes assume that all `Blocks` in the `Galaxy` being iterated on are `Clusters`. This property is `TRUE` assuming that the `Galaxy` (or one of its parent `Galaxies`) has been properly initialized (section 6.4.1) and `merge/absorb` have been the only functions that have modified the topology of the graph since the initialization. These iterators ignore pointers to invalid `Clusters` which have been left in the `Galaxy` using `merge/absorb` with the `removeFlag` set to `FALSE` (last two cases in table 6-1). The cluster iterators are listed in table 6-2.

Iterator	Description
<code>ClusterIter</code>	Iterate over all valid <code>Clusters</code> in the given <code>Galaxy</code> .
<code>SuccessorClusterIter</code>	Iterate over all successor (adjacent) <code>Clusters</code> for a given <code>Cluster</code> .

**TABLE 6-2:** Cluster Iterators

Iterator	Description
PredecessorClusterIter	Iterate over all predecessor Clusters for a given Cluster.

**TABLE 6-2:** Cluster Iterators

## 6.5 Block state and name scoping hierarchy

Recall, that when we initialize a `Galaxy` for clustering, we flatten the original user-specified hierarchy. Before this action, we extract the important information in the hierarchy using the `Scope` class. In this section we detail this class. The details in this section, however, are not necessary to understand clustering in Ptolemy.

Blocks inherit states from their parent. The `Scope` class makes it possible for a `Target` or `Scheduler` to change the `Block` hierarchy by saving the inherited states in the user-specified hierarchy. The scoping hierarchy was first released in Ptolemy 0.6, and is only created when the static method `Scope::createScope(Galaxy&)` is invoked. Currently, the only code that uses the scoping hierarchy is the `Cluster` class.

The `Scope` class manages its memory. Once a `Scope` is created, it will not be deleted until all `Blocks` within the given `Scope` are deleted. The `Scope` class is privately derived from `Galaxy`. To turn on scoping a programmer simply calls the static method:

```
static Scope* Scope::createScope(Galaxy&)
```

This method constructs a parallel tree corresponding to each `Galaxy` and copies the `StateList` and `name()` for each level.

## 6.6 Resetting an InterpUniverse back to actionList

Ptolemy 0.6 and later includes the ability to reset an `InterpUniverse` back to the original user-specification. Resetting is occasionally necessary to undo certain operations done on a universe by a `Scheduler` or `Target`. An example is in parallel scheduling, where the original stars in the `InterpUniverse` are moved to the `subGalaxies` for the child `Targets` (see `$PTOLEMY/src/domains/cg/parScheduler/ParProcessors.cc`). To signal that a the `InterpUniverse` needs to be rebuilt upon the next run, the scheduler writer should invoke `Target::requestReset()`.

## 6.7 References

- [Cor90] Cormen, Leiserson and Rivest, *Introduction to Algorithms*, New York: MIT Press, 1990.
- [Mur94] Murthy, Bhattacharyya, and Lee, *Combined code and data minimization for synchronous dataflow programs*, Memorandum UCB/ERL M94/93, University of California at Berkeley, December, 1994. (<http://ptolemy.eecs.berkeley.edu/papers/jointCodeDataMinimize>)
- [Pin95] Pino, Bhattacharyya, and Lee, *A Hierarchical Multiprocessor Scheduling Framework for Synchronous Dataflow Graphs* Memorandum UCB/ERL M95/36, University of California at Berkeley, May, 1995. (<http://ptolemy.eecs.berkeley.edu/papers/hierStaticSchd>)

